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- We have already heard about some features of one metric truncations for effective average actions with exponential parametrization.

Talks: Percacci, Knorr
Posters: Falls, Labus

- We heard about an $f(\mathrm{R})$ truncation in the conventional linear param.

Talk: Litim

- Here is discussed the $f(\mathrm{R})$ case in the non linear "exp" representation.


## Outline

- Framework
- Parameterization and gauge fixing
- Flow equations.
- An example of global solution
- Conclusions and outlook


## Framework

What is the fundamental nature of gravitational interaction? Of spacetime? And matter? Will an answer ever come?

- Single fundamental theory? QFT Stringy Discrete models
- Sequence of theories with trasmutation/generation of (some) degrees of freedom?

Criteria? Experimental input (hard) Simplicity/Beauty/Unification

> RG paradigm

Useful since able to unify the fundamental and effective theory point of view.

> Bottom-up approach.
(Donoghue)
At least classical field theory and effective field theory are good descriptions for gravity.

Simplest attempt:
A gravitational QFT described by a metric and diffeomorphism symmetry, whose dynamics reveals a UV fixed point with finite dim. critical surface.

If bare action has no irrelevant operators, it is asymptotically safe.
 Otherwise description is effective, originating from a more fundamental theory.

## Approach

Asymptotic safety paradigm using FRG techniques for the effective action $\Gamma\left[g_{\mu \nu}, \phi\right]$

This approach is clearly in constrast to the stringy ideas of UV/IR duality, related to assuming black-hole formation in transplanckian scattering. (a fact not necessarily true for a quantum gravitational theory in the UV)

In this framework we cannot avoid the use of a background field formalism.
In a metric formulation (euclidean): $g_{\mu \nu}\left(\bar{g}_{\mu \nu}, h_{\mu \nu}\right)$

- Issue of background independence for double metric description / modified splitting Ward Identities.
- Issue of choosing truncations as well as coarse-graining schemes. Simple enough, but able to keep the most important features of the full theory.

Many degrees of approximations in the covariant description:
Single metric (field) descriptions can be still non local and complicated:
On maximally symmetric background (e.g. a sphere), for a local "LPA" truncation, pure gravity still not so trivial!

$$
\Gamma\left[g_{\mu \nu}\right]=\int \mathrm{d}^{d} x \sqrt{g} f(R)
$$

## Gravity sector: the metric

We use an exponential parameterization of the metric with euclidean signature:

$$
g_{\mu \nu}=\bar{g}_{\mu \rho}\left(e^{h}\right)^{\rho}{ }_{\nu} \quad \sqrt{g}=e^{h / 2} \sqrt{\bar{g}}=\sqrt{\bar{g}}\left(1+\frac{h}{2}+\frac{h^{2}}{8}+\cdots\right) \quad \text { trh }=h=2 d \omega
$$

As a change of variables the Jacobian is well defined.

We take the attitude that the metric has a non linear nature, preferring the exponential parameterization.

Think about frames and vielbeins... coset space $G L(d) / O(p, q)$
(See also Nink)
Remark: at quantum level the off shell effective action is equivalent to other parameterizations if

- a Jacobian is taken into account
- the geometric formulation a la Vilkowisky-De Witt in considered, indeed e.g. expectations values are not trivially related, ...



## Gauge transformations

Gravity is a gauge theory: physics does not change under diffeomorphisms.

$$
\delta_{\epsilon} g_{\mu \nu}=\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon} g_{\mu \nu} \equiv \epsilon^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} g_{\mu \nu}+g_{\mu \rho} \partial_{\nu} \epsilon^{\rho}+g_{\nu \rho} \partial_{\mu} \epsilon^{\rho}
$$

The quantum gauge transformation for the fluctuations
defined in the exponential parametrization: $\quad \delta_{\epsilon}^{(Q)} h^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}=\left(\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon} \bar{g}\right)^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}+\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon} h^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}+\left[\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon} \bar{g}, h\right]^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}+O\left(\epsilon h^{2}\right)$.

For a single metric truncation, to define the gauge fixing and ghost propagators, it is enough to keep:

$$
\delta_{\epsilon}^{(Q)} h_{\mu \nu}=\bar{\nabla}_{\mu} \epsilon_{\nu}+\bar{\nabla}_{\nu} \epsilon_{\mu}+O(h)
$$

York decomposition of the metric: $\quad h_{\mu \nu}=h^{T T}{ }_{\mu \nu}+\bar{\nabla}_{\mu} \xi_{\nu}+\bar{\nabla}_{\nu} \xi_{\mu}+\bar{\nabla}_{\mu} \bar{\nabla}_{\nu} \sigma-\frac{1}{d} \bar{g}_{\mu \nu} \bar{\nabla}^{2} \sigma+\frac{h}{d} \bar{g}_{\mu \nu}$
and similarly for the diffeomorphism generator

$$
\epsilon^{\mu}=\epsilon^{T \mu}+\bar{\nabla}^{\mu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\nabla^{2}}} \psi ; \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\mu} \epsilon^{T \mu}=0
$$

Transformations:
$\delta_{\epsilon} \xi^{\mu}=\epsilon^{T \mu} \quad \delta_{\psi} \sigma=\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\bar{\nabla}^{2}}} \psi \quad \delta_{\psi} h=-2 \sqrt{-\bar{\nabla}^{2}} \psi$

Gauge invariant quantities

$$
s=h-\bar{\nabla}^{2} \sigma \quad h_{\mu \nu}^{T T}
$$

To adsorbe some Jacobians one can redefine:
$\xi_{\mu}^{\prime}=\sqrt{-\bar{\nabla}^{2}-\frac{\bar{R}}{d}} \xi_{\mu} ; \quad \sigma^{\prime}=\sqrt{-\bar{\nabla}^{2}} \sqrt{-\bar{\nabla}^{2}-\frac{\bar{R}}{d-1} \sigma}$

## Gauge fixing and ghosts

We shall use here the so called physical gauge fixing: set to zero the gauge dependent fluctuations. Therefore in the path integral there remain two kind of gauge invariant fluctuations: s and $h_{\mu \nu}^{T T}$

We face two possible GF choices:

$$
\text { I: } \quad \xi_{\mu}^{\prime}=0, \quad h=\text { const. } \quad \mathrm{II}: \quad \xi_{\mu}^{\prime}=0 \quad, \quad \sigma^{\prime}=0
$$

Remark: for compact spaces (e.g. a sphere) no diffeomorphism can change the constant mode of h.

Faddeev Popov determinants: varying the GF conditions.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta\left(\xi_{\mu}^{\prime}\right) & \operatorname{det}\left(\sqrt{-\bar{\nabla}^{2}-\frac{\bar{R}}{d}}\right) \\
\delta(h-\mathrm{const}) & \operatorname{det}\left(\sqrt{-\bar{\nabla}^{2}}\right) \\
\delta\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) & \operatorname{det}\left(\sqrt{-\bar{\nabla}^{2}-\frac{\bar{R}}{d-1}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{R})$ truncation: $\quad \Gamma\left[g_{\mu \nu}\right]=\int \mathrm{d}^{d} x \sqrt{g} f(R)$

Using gauge invariant variables and the Lichnerowicz operators the Hessian looks very simple:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\text {exp }}^{(2)}= & -\frac{1}{4} f^{\prime}(\bar{R}) h_{\mu \nu}^{T T}\left(\Delta_{2}-\frac{2}{d} \bar{R}\right) h^{T T \mu \nu} \\
& +\frac{d-1}{4 d} s\left[\frac{2(d-1)}{d} f^{\prime \prime}(\bar{R})\left(\Delta_{0}-\frac{\bar{R}}{d-1}\right)+\frac{d-2}{d} f^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right]\left(\Delta_{0}-\frac{\bar{R}}{d-1}\right) s \\
& +h\left(\frac{1}{8} f(\bar{R})-\frac{1}{4 d} f^{\prime}(\bar{R}) \bar{R}\right) h
\end{aligned}
$$

Then on a maximally symmetric space (sphere) and using the rescaled gauge invariant variable:

$$
s^{\prime}=\sqrt{-\bar{\nabla}^{2}-\frac{R}{d-1}} s
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int d x \sqrt{\bar{g}}\left[-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(\bar{R}) h^{T T}{ }_{\mu \nu}\left(-\bar{\nabla}^{2}+\frac{2 \bar{R}}{d(d-1)}\right) h^{T T^{\mu \nu}}\right. \\
& +\frac{(d-1)^{2}}{d^{2}} s^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(\bar{R})\left(-\bar{\nabla}^{2}-\frac{\bar{R}}{d-1}\right)+\frac{(d-2)}{2(d-1)} f^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right) s^{\prime} \\
& +h\left(\frac{1}{4} f(\bar{R})-\frac{1}{2 d} \bar{R} f^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right) h
\end{aligned}
$$

To be compared with...
(Codello-Percacci_Rahmede, Demmel-Saueresseig-Zanusso, Eichhorn)

Imposing a physical gauge on $\xi_{\mu}^{\prime}$ and $h$ it is easy to see that only the vector ghots contribution survives. In Einstein gravity the scalar does not propagate. Same result if using De Donder gauge fixing.

## Flow Equation

We consider the following family of coarse-graining schemes, depending on three endomorphisms. which affect the summation of the fluctuations of different spin.
(optimized cutoff)

$$
P_{k}(\square)=\square+R_{k}(\square), \quad \square=\Delta+E_{(s)}, \quad \Delta=-\nabla^{2}, \quad \quad R_{k}(z)=\left(k^{2}-z\right) \theta\left(k^{2}-z\right) \text { (Litim) }
$$

The endos are defined by three real parameters:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
E_{(2)}=-\alpha \bar{R}, \quad E_{(0)}=-\beta \bar{R}, & E_{(1)}=-\gamma \bar{R} \\
\text { gauge invariant } & \text { vector ghost } \\
(\text { spin }=2,0) & (\text { spin }=1)
\end{array}
$$

Flow of the effective average action (we consider $\mathrm{d}=4$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Gamma}_{k}= & \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{(2)}\left[\frac{\dot{f}^{\prime}(\bar{R}) R_{k}(\square)+f^{\prime}(\bar{R}) \dot{R}_{k}(\square)}{f^{\prime}(\bar{R})\left(P_{k}(\square)-E_{(2)}+\frac{2}{d(d-1)} \bar{R}\right)}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{(0)}\left[\frac{\dot{f}^{\prime \prime}(\bar{R}) R_{k}(\square)+f^{\prime \prime}(\bar{R}) \dot{R}_{k}(\square)}{f^{\prime \prime}(\bar{R})\left(P_{k}(\square)-E_{(0)}-\frac{1}{d-1} \bar{R}\right)+\frac{d-2}{2(d-1)} f^{\prime}(\bar{R})}\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{(1)}\left[\frac{\dot{R}_{k}(\square)}{P_{k}(\square)-E_{(1)}-\frac{1}{d} \bar{R}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

> This scheme is spectrally adjusted: coarse-graining is affected by the shape of $f(R)$ !

The traces can be evaluated in different ways:

- Heat kernel expansion
- spectral sums on the sphere

Both have some limitations in the IR and for large R .

## Flow from Heat Kernel

The Heat Kernel expansion valid at small R leads to the following well known construction:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{(s)}[W(\square)]=\frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{d / 2}} \int_{S^{d}} d^{d} x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{2 n}^{(s)} Q_{d / 2-n}[W] \bar{R}^{n} \quad Q_{m}[W]=\frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d z z^{m-1} W[z]
$$

Then we get the following HK coefficients

|  | $b_{0}$ | $b_{2}$ | $b_{4}$ | $b_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spin 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{6}+\beta$ | $\frac{-511+360 \beta+1080 \beta^{2}}{2160}$ | $\frac{19085-64386 \beta+22680 \beta^{2}+45360 \beta^{3}}{272160}$ |
| Spin 1 | 3 | $\frac{1}{4}+3 \gamma$ | $\frac{-607+36 \gamma+2160 \gamma^{2}}{1440}$ | $\frac{37259-152964 \gamma+45360 \gamma^{2}+181440 \gamma^{3}}{362880}$ |
| Spin 2 | 5 | $-\frac{5}{6}+5 \alpha$ | $\frac{-1-360 \alpha+1080 \alpha^{2}}{432}$ | $\frac{311-126 \alpha-2680 \alpha^{2}+45360 \alpha^{3}}{54432}$ |

Dimensionless variables: $\quad r \equiv \bar{R} k^{-2} \quad \varphi(r)=k^{-d} f(\bar{R}) \quad d=4$
The flow equation, for an optimized cutoff reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 32 \pi^{2}\left(\dot{\varphi}-2 r \varphi^{\prime}+4 \varphi\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{c_{1}\left(\dot{\varphi}^{\prime}-2 r \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right)+c_{2} \varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}[6+(6 \alpha+1) r]}+\frac{c_{3}\left(\dot{\varphi}^{\prime \prime}-2 r \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)+c_{4} \varphi^{\prime \prime}}{[3+(3 \beta-1) r] \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\varphi^{\prime}}-\frac{c_{5}}{4+(4 \gamma-1) r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c_{1}=5+5\left(3 \alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right) r+\left(15 \alpha^{2}-5 \alpha-\frac{1}{72}\right) r^{2}+\left(5 \alpha^{3}-\frac{5}{2} \alpha^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{72}+\frac{311}{9072}\right) r^{3}, \\
c_{2}=40+15(6 \alpha-1) r+\left(60 \alpha^{2}-20 \alpha-\frac{1}{18}\right) r^{2}+\left(10 \alpha^{3}-5 \alpha^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{36}+\frac{311}{4536}\right) r^{3}, \\
c_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\left(3 \beta+\frac{1}{2}\right) r+\left(3 \beta^{2}+\beta-\frac{511}{360}\right) r^{2}+\left(\beta^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}-\frac{511}{360} \beta+\frac{3817}{9072}\right) r^{3}\right], & \text { Inclusion of the constant mode for h. } \\
c_{4}=3+(6 \beta+1) r+\left(3 \beta^{2}+\beta-\frac{511}{360}\right) r^{2}, & \text { Add } \\
c_{5}=12+2(12 \gamma+1) r+\left(12 \gamma^{2}+2 \gamma-\frac{607}{180}\right) r^{2} . & \frac{8}{3} \frac{r^{2}}{16+2 \varphi-r \varphi^{\prime}}
\end{array}
$$

## Flow from Spectral Sum

The Heat Kernel expansion valid at small R leads to the following well known construction:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{(s)}\left[W\left(\Delta+E_{(s)}\right)\right]=\sum_{l} M_{l}(d, s) W\left(\lambda_{l}(d, s)+E_{(s)}\right)
$$

On the sphere the laplacian has eigenvalues $\lambda_{l}(d, s)$ with multiplicities $M_{l}(d, s)$

For an optimized cutoff then coarse-graining is regulated by $R_{k}\left(\lambda_{l}(d, s)+E_{(s)}\right)$ with support $\lambda_{l}(d, s)+E_{(s)} \leq k^{2}$, that is $l \leq \bar{l}^{(s)}$ for

$$
\bar{l}^{(2)}=-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{48 \frac{k^{2}}{R}+17+48 \alpha}, \bar{l}^{(1)}=-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{48 \frac{k^{2}}{R}+13+48 \gamma}, \bar{l}^{(0)}=-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{48 \frac{k^{2}}{R}+9+48 \beta}
$$

Averaging sums with $\bar{l}_{(s)}$ and $\bar{l}_{(s)}-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 32 \pi^{2}\left(\dot{\varphi}-2 r \varphi^{\prime}+4 \varphi\right) \\
& =\frac{c_{1}\left(\dot{\varphi}^{\prime}-2 r \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right)+c_{2} \varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}[6+(6 \alpha+1) r]}+\frac{c_{3}\left(\dot{\varphi}^{\prime \prime}-2 r \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)+c_{4} \varphi^{\prime \prime}}{[3+(3 \beta-1) r] \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\varphi^{\prime}}-\frac{c_{5}}{4+(4 \gamma-1) r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$c_{1}=\frac{5}{108}[6+(6 \alpha-1) r][6+(6 \alpha+1) r][3+(3 \alpha-2) r]$,
$c_{2}=\frac{5}{108}[6+(6 \alpha-1) r]\left[144+9(20 \alpha-3) r+2(6 \alpha+1)(3 \alpha-2) r^{2}\right]$,
$c_{3}=\frac{1}{72}[2+(2 \beta+3) r][3+(3 \beta-1) r][6+(6 \beta-5) r]$,
$c_{4}=\frac{1}{8}[2+(2 \beta-1) r][12+(12 \beta+11) r]$,
$c_{5}=12+3(8 \gamma+1) r+\left(12 \gamma^{2}+3 \gamma-\frac{19}{6}\right) r^{2}$.

Inclusion of the constant mode for $h$.

Add

$$
\frac{8}{3} \frac{r^{2}}{16+2 \varphi-r \varphi^{\prime}}
$$

## Quadratic FP "solutions"

With probability one in the space of the endomorphisms the asymptotic behavior goes like $r^{2}$
Writing the FP equation as $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{N}=0$ is a quintic polynomial. The ansatz $\varphi(r)=g_{0}+g_{1} r+g_{2} r^{2}$ solves $\mathrm{N}=0$ for several sets of the unknowns $g_{0}, g_{1}, g_{2}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$

Heat Kernel flow

| $10^{3} \alpha$ | $10^{3} \beta$ | $10^{3} \gamma$ | $10^{3} \tilde{g}_{0 *}$ | $10^{3} \tilde{g}_{1 *}$ | $10^{3} \tilde{g}_{2 *}$ | $\theta$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| -593 | -73.5 | -177 | 7.28 | -8.42 | 1.71 | 3.78 |
| -616 | -70.7 | -154 | 7.42 | -8.64 | 1.74 | 3.75 |
| -564 | -80.3 | -168 | 6.82 | -8.77 | 1.83 | 3.70 |
| -543 | -87.4 | -126 | 6.31 | -9.47 | 2.06 | 3.43 |
| -420 | -100.5 | -3.19 | 4.90 | -10.2 | 2.83 | 2.93 |
| -173 | -2.98 | 244 | 4.53 | -8.34 | 2.70 | 2.18 |
| -146 | -64973 | 250 | 2.90 | -10.7 | 0.0006 | 2.58 |
| -109 | -22267 | 307 | 2.90 | -10.4 | 0.0045 | 2.45 |
| 109 | -3564 | 526 | 2.84 | -7.83 | 0.094 | C |
| 377 | -1305 | 794 | 2.57 | -4.37 | 0.214 | $>4$ |

Spectral sum flow

| $10^{3} \alpha$ | $10^{3} \beta$ | $10^{3} \gamma$ | $10^{3} \tilde{g}_{0 *}$ | $10^{3} \tilde{g}_{1 *}$ | $10^{3} \tilde{g}_{2 *}$ | $\theta$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| -97.8 | 38.9 | 319 | 4.31 | -7.46 | 2.85 | 2.03 |
| -438 | -122 | -21.0 | 4.67 | -10.4 | 3.14 | 3.2 |
| 134 | -2765 | 551 | 2.82 | -7.70 | 0.13 | C |
| 505 | -715 | 922 | 2.16 | -2.65 | 0.21 | $>4$ |
| -564 | -63.8 | -147 | 7.83 | -6.80 | 1.35 | $>4$ |

Various solutions have just two relavant directions (UV attractive) with real eigenvalues $(-4,-\theta)$

Note that for these values there exists at least one $r>0$ for which $\mathrm{D}=0$.
Thefore such solutions are defined everywhere apart from at least one isolated point!

The equation of motion $2 f-R f^{\prime}=0$ is satisfied by $\mathrm{R}>0$ and there is no redundancy of the eigenperturbations in the domain of existence.

## Global solutions: some parameter constraints

Are there non trivial and not simple global solutions? Analysis for the spectral sum flow.
We assume at the beginning the absence of moving singularities.
Fixed singularities: look at coeff. of $\varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}, 1 / \varphi^{\prime}$ and at the ghost term.

## $\varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}$ <br> zeros of $r c_{3}$





Example: $\alpha=-\frac{1}{6}, \beta=\frac{1}{3}, \gamma \geq \frac{1}{4}$
From $\beta$ we get 2 fixed singularities at positive $\mathrm{r}=0,2$.
From $\alpha$ we allow the existence of an extremum at $\mathrm{r}=6 / 5,3$.
From $\gamma$ we ensure that no other fixed singularities arise from the ghost term.

## A global solution: numerical analysis

We consider the case: $\alpha=-\frac{1}{6}, \beta=\frac{1}{3}, \gamma=\frac{1}{2}$
Polynomial analysis around the origin up to order 16 (black): it becomes very stable and suggests indeed a global solution with a minimum in 6/5.


2 relevant direction. Real Critical exponents: $4,1.83$

Regularity conditions at $\mathrm{r}=0$ and $\mathrm{r}=2$ together with the condition of minimum at $\mathrm{r}=6 / 5$ fix completely the three parameters for the Cauchy problem.

## Strategy:

1) Provide analytical polynomial expansions in terms of two parameters at the points $0,6 / 5,2$.

| 0 | $6 / 5$ | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\varphi^{\prime}(0), \varphi^{\prime \prime}(0)$ | $\varphi(6 / 5), \varphi^{\prime \prime}(6 / 5)$ | $\varphi^{\prime}(2), \varphi^{\prime \prime}(2)$ |

2) Evolve num. from $0+$ to $6 / 5$ imposing the condition of minimum $\rightarrow$ curve in $\varphi^{\prime}(0), \varphi^{\prime \prime}(0)$ Evolve num. from 2- to $6 / 5$ imposing the condition of minimum $\rightarrow$ curve in $\varphi^{\prime}(2), \varphi^{\prime \prime}(2)$
3) Map the two curves into two curves in $6 / 5$ in the plane $\varphi(6 / 5), \varphi^{\prime \prime}(6 / 5)$
4) The intersection fixes completely the parameters of the solution.

## Numerical analysis 2

Evolution from $0+$ to $6 / 5$
(red point at polynomial solution)


Evolution from 2- to 6/5


Remark: the evolution between betweek 2-and $6 / 5$ encounters also moving singularities in other regions of the parameter plane!

Intersecting the curves mapped to $r=6 / 5$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(6 / 5)=-0.0007136 \cdots \\
& \varphi^{\prime \prime}(6 / 5)=0.006256 \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

The intersecting solution is slightly deformed compared to the approximate polynomial solution.

## Numerical analysis 3

The solution (blue) is constructed from a numerical evolution in the intervals $6 / 5$ - to $0,6 / 5+$ to 2 - and from $2+$ to $r>2$ and using the analytic polynomial expansions around $6 / 5$ and 2 . Order 16 polynomial solution around the origin (red).

Possible to study at polynomial expansion around the minimum. It looks as a better approximation (green curve, order 16).

Zooming close to the origin:



Asymptotic expansion:

$$
\varphi_{a s}(r)=A r^{2}+\frac{1053 A r}{50-13824 \pi^{2} A}+\frac{1051066368 \pi^{4} A^{2}+107637120 \pi^{2} A-1943075}{6144 \pi^{2}\left(25-6912 \pi^{2} A\right)^{2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)
$$

Comparison of asymptotic expansion (purple) with numerical solution at large r (blue) after tuning the parameter A.


## Some consideration on the domain of $f(r)$.

The Heat Kernel expansion is reliable only for small $r=R / k^{2}$ so that there is no access at fixed R to the IR limit.

For fhe spectral sum approach we considered the average of the sums for all the spins of modes with two different upper bounds, both starting from 2 because of the Killing symmetries.

$$
2 \leq l \leq \bar{l}^{(s)} \quad, \quad 2 \leq l \leq \bar{l}^{(s)}-1
$$

For large $r=R / k^{2}$ depending on the endomorphisms there might be no room to some modes.
On the other end it is also not clear what means a coarse-graining at length scales larger that the dimension of the compact manifold. Therefore one might question if at fixed R one shoud look for a global scaling solution defined on the full positive semiaxes.
The situation looks better for noncompact background manifolds.

## Conclusions

- We have revisited the $f(R)$ truncation using a non linear parametrization of the exponential form in a single metric truncation.
- The choice of gauge invariant fluctuations and gauge fixing, based on a spin decomposition leads to simple structure of the Hessian.
- The flow equation are constructed with a spectrally adjusted coarse-graining scheme, using either and HK expansion or a spcetral sum on the sphere.
- Pure quadratic solutions valid everywhere apart from finite points exist. Other global solutions can be constructed numerically. The latter have typically 2 relevant directions.
- The sphere, which is a compact manifold poses some limitations in the cosntruction of a flow in the IR limit. As already noted an upper bound in the curvature may appears even if the equation defines $f(R)$ everywhere.


## Outlook

- Pure cutoff schemes lead to more complicated flow equations. Not yet analyzed.
- A similar analysis on non compact backgrounds has been started.
- An urgent issue is the one related to background independence.
- Inclusion of matter (e.g. scalar) at this level: $F_{k}(\rho, R)$
- Inclusion of the anomalous dimension.
- More general truncations.

Thank you!

