"Quantum Effects in Gravitational Fields" @ Leipzig University

Quantum effect unique to gravity based on the weak equivalence principle

Department of physics, Nagoya university

Youka Kaku

Collaborators: Shin'ya Maeda, Yasusada Nambu, Yuki Osawa Phys. Rev. D 106(2022)12, 126005, [arXiv: 2207.11848]

Introduction



- We need experimental evidence to explore quantum gravity
- Quantum gravity theory ⇒ non-relativistic gravity in QM regime
 Our focus
- Regarding the recent progress of quantum experiment in mesoscopic scale, it is expected to be realized in near future.







Feynman (1957) Marletto, Vedral (2017), Bose et al. (2017)

"Is the gravitational field superposed when the mass source is in quantum superposition?"



Therefore, creation of entanglement may be good criteria to judge superposed gravity.

However, since QG as well as other quantum interaction can also create entanglement,

it is better if we can pick out quantum feature unique to gravity!!

Quantum clock and Ramsey interference INT sys. Particle which has energy levels as its internal d.o.f. Quantum clock E_2 CM sys. Particle state is defined by "the CM state" \otimes "the internal energy state" E_1 E_0 Total rest mass depends on internal energy: $m(\hat{E}) = \underline{m_0} + \hat{E}/c^2$ $|E_i\rangle$ ψ Mass of the CM system **Ramsey interferometry** Measurement of an energy gap of the quantum clock time Interference between Superpose the int. 2 different energy state energy state $|\psi_1(t)\rangle|E_1$ $|\psi_{\rm ini}\rangle|E_0\rangle$ – $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\psi_{\rm ini}\rangle (|E_0\rangle + |E_1\rangle)$ **Ramsey interference** $\operatorname{Re}\left[\langle\psi_0(t)|\psi_1(t)\rangle\right]$ $|\psi_0(t)\rangle|E_0\rangle$ Different time evolution $\hat{H}(\hat{E}, \hat{x}, \hat{p})$ with different rest mass

⁶/₁₈ Gravity induced decoherence of the Ramsey interference

- Background spacetime $ds^2 \simeq -(1 + 2\Phi(\hat{x})/c^2)dt^2 + (1 2\Phi(\hat{x}/c^2))dx^2$ An arbitrary weak gravitational field
- Note Total rest mass is $m(\hat{E}) = m_0 + \hat{E}/c^2$

Pikovski, et. al. (2015) Haustein

et. al. (2019)

• Hamiltonian of freefalling quantum clock

• Visibility: The absolute value of the Ramsey interference

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(t)| &:= \left| \langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t) \rangle \right| = \left| \langle \psi_{\mathrm{ini}} | e^{i\hat{H}(E_0)t} e^{-i\hat{H}(E_1)t} | \psi_{\mathrm{ini}} \rangle \right| \\ &\simeq \left| \langle \psi_{\mathrm{ini}} | e^{i(E_0 - E_1) \Gamma(\hat{x}, \hat{p})t} | \psi_{\mathrm{ini}} \rangle \right| \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

The Ramsey interference is damped down due to SR/GR redshift.

Decoherence in homogeneous gravity



Gravity induced decoherence 7/18 Pikovski, et. al. (2015) Haustein of the Ramsey interference et. al. (2019) <u>Note</u> • Background spacetime $ds^2 \simeq -(1+2\Phi(\hat{x})/c^2)dt^2 + (1-2\Phi(\hat{x}/c^2))dx^2$ Total rest mass is $m(\hat{E}) = m_0 + \hat{E}/c^2$ An arbitrary weak gravitational field · Hamiltonian of What we are going to do? (\hat{x}, \hat{p}) $H_{\text{free}}(\hat{E}, \hat{x}, \hat{p}$ Consider QG/CG as the background spacetime, nian and focus on the time dependence of the visibility. where $\Gamma(\hat{x}, \hat{p})$ • We may be able capture quantumness unique to ce in homogeneous gravity Visibility: The a the gravity by observing the decoherence induced $\mathcal{V}(t)$ $|\mathcal{V}(t)| := |\langle$ by the gravitational redshift. E_1) $\Gamma(x,p)t | \psi_{\rm ini} \rangle$ $\operatorname{Re}[\langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t)$ The Ramsey interference is damped -1.012 down due to SR/GR redshift. Measurement time t

<u>Our work</u> Quantumness of gravity in harmonically trapped particles

Phys. Rev. D 106(2022)12, 126005, [arXiv: 2207.11848]

Quantumness of Gravity in harmonically trapped particles

Main idea

• Ramsey interferometry of a particle gravitationally interacting with superposed mass source.



Quantumness of Gravity in harmonically trapped particles

Main idea

• Ramsey interferometry of a particle gravitationally interacting with superposed mass source.



- According to the weak equivalence principle, only gravity couples to mass.
 Also, by referring to mass-energy equivalence, only gravity couples to energy.
- Entanglement between INT and Source systems can be only created by gravity!

If we can test the existence of INT: Source entanglement in the Ramsey interferometry, we will be able to test the quantum nature unique to gravity!

11/18 Setup



Equilibrium state Ground state Superposition of Gaussian state

How does the decoherence of Ramsey visibility $|\mathcal{V}(t)| := |\langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t) \rangle|$ change w.r.t. QG and CG?



$$V_{\rm QG}(\hat{E}, \hat{x}, \hat{X}) = -\frac{GMm(\hat{E})}{|\hat{x} - (\hat{X} + d)|}$$

INT and Source systems entangle through QG

• The Ramsey interference visibility is given by

 $|\mathcal{V}(t)| = |\mathcal{V}_Q(t)\mathcal{V}_C(t)|$

: 2π periodic func.

 $\times \exp$

 $|\mathcal{V}_C(t)|$

 $\left|\mathcal{V}_{Q}(t)\right| = \frac{2}{N} \left(\cos\left[\right.\right]$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \sqrt{m_0^3/k} = 10, \ \sqrt{m_0/m_1} = 0.5, \ Gm_0 M/(kd^3) = 0.015, \\ m_0^{1/4}d = 10, \ \beta/d = 0.01, \ \sigma/d = 0.001 \end{array} \right)$$

<u>Time dependence of Visibility</u>



 $|\mathcal{V}_Q(t)|$, which results from INT-Src. entanglement, leads the visibility to be non-revival.



$$\left(\sqrt{m_0^3/k} = 10, \ \sqrt{m_0/m_1} = 0.5, \ Gm_0 M/(kd^3) = 0.015, \\ m_0^{1/4}d = 10, \ \beta/d = 0.01, \ \sigma/d = 0.001 \right)$$

$$V_{\rm CG}(\hat{E}, \hat{x}) = -\left\langle \frac{GM\,m(\hat{E})}{|\hat{x} - (\hat{X} + d)|} \right\rangle_{\rm source}$$

No entanglement between INT and Source

• The Ramsey interference visibility is given by

 $|\mathcal{V}(t)| = |\mathcal{V}_{Q}(t)\mathcal{V}_{C}(t)|$

 $|\mathcal{V}_Q(t)|$ disappears since there is no entanglement between INT and Source.

Therefore, the visibility of CG is simply given by 2π periodic function $|\mathcal{V}_C(t)|$.





• The Ramsey interference Visibility

 $\underline{\mathbf{QG}} \qquad |\mathcal{V}(t)| = |\mathcal{V}_Q(t)\mathcal{V}_C(t)|$



- INT and Src. systems entangle.
- This leads the visibility to be non-revival.



- INT and Src. systems are separable.
- This leads the visibility to be revival.

We can test the quantumness of gravity by testing non-revival feature of the visibility!

Discussion & Conclusion

16/18 QG and Coulomb interaction

- In the previous proposal, the quantum effect of QG and other interaction were degenerated.
- Did we verify the quantum effect unique to the QG in our proposal?

Let us consider the similar calculation for the Coulomb interaction instead of QG.



QG and Coulomb interaction

• Hamiltonian
$$\hat{H}(\hat{E}, \hat{X}) \simeq \hat{m}c^2 + \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\hat{m}} + \frac{1}{2}k\hat{x}^2 + \frac{qQ}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\hat{x} - (\hat{X} + d)|} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$$

 $m(\hat{E})$ and \hat{X} does not couple for the Coulomb interaction¹ (c.f. weak equivalence principle)

• By calculation, we get π periodic function for the visibility²

Interaction	The visibility behavior	INT-Source entanglement
CG	2π periodic	×
QG	non-revival	0
Coulomb	π periodic	×

The quantum effect unique to QG is reflected to the time period of the visibility.

Note 1. INT-Source systems entangle not only by QG, but also by spin-photon interaction. Note 2. We considered only up to the lowest order of Taylor expansion. (The visibility is not revival also for the Coulomb case when we treat higher orders.)



• We considered the Ramsey interferometry using the harmonically trapped quantum clock.

Interaction	The visibility behavior	INT-Source entanglement
CG	2π periodic	×
QG	non-revival	0
Coulomb	π periodic	×

- INT-Source systems entangle and the Ramsey interference visibility does not revive only when QG is mediated in the system.
- We can verify the quantumness of gravity by analyzing the time period of the visibility.
- When we consider the Coulomb interaction in the system instead of QG, we can distinguish its quantum feature from QG respecting weak equivalence principle.







- We need experimental evidence to explore quantum gravity
- Quantum gravity theory ⇒ non-relativistic gravity in QM regime
 Our focus

How to test the quantum nature of non-relativistic gravity?

First proposed by Bose, Marletto, Vederal, et al.

 \Rightarrow BMV proposal







Bose, Marletto, Vedral (2017)

Main idea

Verify quantum entanglement created by superposed gravitational field through gravity induced interference of a test particle.

<u>Setup</u>

Measure a position of the test particle and see its interference pattern after the time evolution under the external gravity from spatially superposed mass source.



Backup Review of BMV proposals



$$\begin{split} e^{-im\Phi t/\hbar} |\psi\rangle (|L\rangle + |R\rangle) \\ &= e^{\phi_L} |\psi\rangle |L\rangle + e^{\phi_R} |\psi\rangle |R\rangle \text{ Entangled state} \\ &\quad (\phi_j = -im\Phi(\hat{x}, j)t/\hbar) \end{split}$$

 \rightarrow QG induced interference

CG



$$\begin{split} e^{-im\Phi t/\hbar} |\psi\rangle (|L\rangle + |R\rangle) \\ &= e^{\phi} |\psi\rangle (|L\rangle + |R\rangle) \quad \text{Separable state} \\ &\quad (\phi = -im\Phi(\hat{x})t/\hbar) \end{split}$$

 \rightarrow No interference fringe

Backup Review of BMV proposals

Bose, Marletto, Vedral (2017)

Conclusion of BMV proposals

- QG creates the entanglement and induce a test particle interference.
- We may be able to test a quantum nature of gravity from the existence of the interference.

<u>Issues</u>

• However, other quantum interaction can also create the entanglement and the interference.

QG:
$$\Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{X}) = -\frac{GM}{|\hat{x} - \hat{X}|}$$
 Coulomb potential: $A_t(\hat{x}, \hat{X}) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{|\hat{x} - \hat{X}|}$

• BMV proposals doesn't work when Coulomb force is mixed in the system.

We consider new proposal to **test the quantum nature unique** to gravity by stepping into a slightly relativistic region.



Backup Quantum clock and Ramsey interference

Quantum clock Particle which has energy levels as its internal d.o.f.

- Particle state is defined by "the CM state" \otimes "the internal energy state"
- Total rest mass of the particle is $\hat{m} = \underline{m_0} + \hat{E}/c^2$





Mass of the CM system



<u>Method</u>

Consider a particle which has 2 energy levels as internal d.o.f. We will apply 4 operations to the initial state $|\Psi_{ini}\rangle = |\psi_{ini}\rangle \otimes |E_0\rangle$ as shown in the right figure.

• Pulse operator: Induces an internal energy transition

$$\hat{G} = \hat{I} \otimes e^{i\hat{\sigma}_y \pi/4} = \hat{I} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

e.g. $|E_0\rangle \xrightarrow{\hat{G}} \frac{|E_0\rangle + |E_1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \xrightarrow{\hat{G}} |E_1\rangle \xrightarrow{\hat{G}} \frac{-|E_0\rangle + |E_1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \xrightarrow{\hat{G}} -|E_0\rangle \xrightarrow{\hat{G}} \cdots$

• Time operator:

 $\hat{U}(t) = e^{-iHt} \langle$ When the energy level changes...

- \Rightarrow The total mass changes
- \Rightarrow The CM motion and the world line change





Ramsey (1950)

Method

time Note The final state after step \Im $|\Psi_{\rm ini}\rangle = |\psi_{\rm ini}\rangle \otimes |E_0\rangle$ (4) Measurement t $\hat{G} = \hat{I} \otimes e^{i\hat{\sigma}_y \pi/4} = \hat{I} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ (3) Pulse $=\hat{G}\,\hat{U}(t)\,\hat{G}\,|\Psi_{\rm ini}\rangle$ **N**Time evolution $=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\hat{G}\hat{U}(t)|\psi_{\rm ini}\rangle(|E_0\rangle+|E_1\rangle)$ $|\psi_0\rangle|E_0\rangle$ $=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\hat{G}\underline{\left(|\psi_{0}(t)\rangle|E_{0}\rangle+|\psi_{1}(t)|E_{1}\rangle\right)}$ CM-INT entanglement $= \frac{1}{2} \{ |\psi_0(t)\rangle (|E_0\rangle + |E_1\rangle) + |\psi_1(t)\rangle (-|E_0\rangle + |E_1\rangle) \}$ $\mathbf{0}$ E_1

where $|\psi_j(t)\rangle = \langle E_j | \hat{U}(t) | E_j \rangle | \psi_{\text{ini}} \rangle$



Ramsey (1950)

③ Pulse

....

.....

 E_1

 E_0

Time evolution

1)Pulse

 $|\psi_1\rangle|E_1$

Method

Finally, we will measure
a transition late of
$$E_0 \rightarrow E_1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{Note} \\ |\Psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \{|\psi_0(t)\rangle(|E_0\rangle + |E_1\rangle) \\ +|\psi_1(t)\rangle(-|E_0\rangle + |E_1\rangle)\} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P(t) = \operatorname{Tr} [\langle E_1 | \Psi(t) \rangle \langle \Psi(t) | E_1 \rangle]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (2 + \langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t) \rangle + \langle \psi_1(t) | \psi_0(t) \rangle)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (1 + \operatorname{Re} [\langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t) \rangle]$$

$$Interference term between
2 world lines with different
internal energy level
$$= \frac{1}{2} (1 + |\mathcal{V}(t)| \cos \Theta(t))$$$$

 $|\mathcal{V}(t)| := |\langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t) \rangle|$: the visibility where $\Theta(t) := \operatorname{Arg}\left[\langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t) \rangle\right]$

Gravity induced decoherence Backup of the Ramsey interference

Background spacetime

$$ds^2 \simeq -(1 + 2\Phi(\hat{x})/c^2)dt^2 + (1 - 2\Phi(\hat{x}/c^2))dx^2$$

Note Total rest mass is $\hat{m} = m_0 + \hat{E}/c^2$

Pikovski, et. al. (2015) Haustein

et. al. (2019)

An arbitrary weak gravitational field

Hamiltonian of freefalling quantum clock •

where

 $\Gamma(\hat{x},\hat{p}) = -\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m_0^2} + \Phi(\hat{x})$: SR/ GR redshift factor

• Visibility: The absolute value of the Ramsey interference

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(t)| &:= \left| \langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t) \rangle \right| = \left| \langle \psi_{\mathrm{ini}} | e^{i\hat{H}(E_0)t} e^{-i\hat{H}(E_1)t} | \psi_{\mathrm{ini}} \rangle \right| \\ &\simeq \left| \langle \psi_{\mathrm{ini}} | e^{i(E_0 - E_1) \Gamma(\hat{x}, \hat{p})t} | \psi_{\mathrm{ini}} \rangle \right| \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

The Ramsey interference is damped down due to SR/GR redshift.

Decoherence in homogeneous gravity







• QG potential: $\Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{X}) = -\frac{GM}{|\hat{x} - (\hat{X} + d)|}$

Perform Taylor expansion for $d \gg x, X$

$$\hat{m}\hat{\Phi} \simeq -\frac{G\hat{m}M}{|d|}\left(1+\frac{\hat{x}-\hat{X}}{d}\right)$$



Then, the Hamiltonian leads to

$$\hat{H}(\hat{E},\hat{X}) \simeq \varepsilon(\hat{E},\hat{X}) + \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\hat{m}} + \frac{1}{2}k(\hat{x} - \Delta(\hat{E}))^2 \equiv \varepsilon(\hat{E},\hat{X}) + \hat{h}(\hat{E})$$
$$\Delta(\hat{E}) = \frac{G\hat{m}M}{kd^2}, \ \varepsilon(\hat{E},\hat{X}) = \frac{\hat{E}}{c^2} \left(\frac{GM}{|d|}\frac{\hat{X}}{d} + \text{const.}\right)$$

Coupling of INT-Source operators

Time evolution of the state associated with E_j is given by $|\Psi_j\rangle \sim \sum_{s=\pm\beta} e^{-i\hat{H}(E_j,s)t/\hbar} |\psi_{\rm ini}\rangle|\varphi_s\rangle$



Image of the worldlines



$$V_{\text{QG}}(\hat{E}, \hat{x}, \hat{X}) = -\frac{G\hat{m}M}{|\hat{x} - (\hat{X} + d)|}$$

INT and Source systems entangle through QG

• Hamiltonian of QG

$$\hat{H}_{\rm QG}(\hat{E},\hat{X}) = \hat{m}c^2 + \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\hat{m}} + \frac{1}{2}k\hat{x}^2 + V_{\rm QG}(\hat{E},\hat{x},\hat{X})$$

• How does the particle evolve?

$$\langle \hat{E} \rangle = \{ E_0, E_1 \}, \quad \langle \hat{X} \rangle \sim \pm \beta$$

\rightarrow 4 different patterns for the particle state!

• Visibility $|\mathcal{V}(t)| \sim |\langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t) \rangle$ corresponds to the innerproduct between $\hat{E}_0 = E_0 \text{ with } \langle \hat{X} \rangle = \pm \beta$, Blue: $\langle \hat{E} \rangle = E_1 \text{ with } \langle \hat{X} \rangle = \pm \beta$

4 different CM states in the phase space





$$V_{\text{QG}}(\hat{E}, \hat{x}, \hat{X}) = -\frac{G\hat{m}M}{|\hat{x} - (\hat{X} + d)|}$$

INT and Source systems entangle through QG

• Hamiltonian of QG

$$\hat{H}_{\rm QG}(\hat{E},\hat{X}) = \hat{m}c^2 + \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\hat{m}} + \frac{1}{2}k\hat{x}^2 + V_{\rm QG}(\hat{E},\hat{x},\hat{X})$$

• How does the particle evolve?

$$\langle \hat{E} \rangle = \{ E_0, E_1 \}, \quad \langle \hat{X} \rangle \sim \pm \beta$$

\rightarrow 4 different patterns for the particle state!

• Visibility $|\mathcal{V}(t)| \sim |\langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t) \rangle$ corresponds to the innerproduct between $\hat{E}_0 = E_0 \text{ with } \langle \hat{X} \rangle = \pm \beta$, Blue: $\langle \hat{E} \rangle = E_1 \text{ with } \langle \hat{X} \rangle = \pm \beta$

4 different CM states in the phase space



Backup Calculation: the QG case
Calculation of the Ramsey interference visibility
$$|\mathcal{V}(t)|$$

 $|\mathcal{V}(t)| = \left| \int dX |\varphi(X)|^2 \langle \psi_{\text{ini}} | e^{i\hat{H}(E_0,X)t/\hbar} e^{-i\hat{H}(E_1,X)t/\hbar} |\psi_{\text{ini}} \rangle \right|$
 $= \left| \int dX |\varphi(X)|^2 e^{i(\varepsilon(E_0,X) - \varepsilon(E_1,X))t/\hbar} \right| \times \left| \langle \psi_{\text{ini}} | e^{i\hat{h}(E_0)t/\hbar} e^{-i\hat{h}(E_1)t/\hbar} |\psi_{\text{ini}} \rangle \right|$
 $|\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle$ is an equilibrium state of $\hbar(E_0)$
 $|\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle$ is an equilibrium state of $\hbar(E_0)$
 $|\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle$ is an equilibrium state of $\hbar(E_0)$
Hence, $|\mathcal{V}(t)| = |\mathcal{V}_Q(t)\mathcal{V}_C(t)|$
 $|\mathcal{V}_C(t)| = |e^{i\omega_0 t/2} \langle \psi_{\text{ini}} | e^{-i\hat{h}(E_1)t/\hbar} |\psi_{\text{ini}} \rangle |$
 2π periodic function for $\sqrt{\frac{k}{m_0 + E_1/c^2} t}$

Backup Calculation: the CG case

QG potential:

$$\Phi(\hat{x}) = \left\langle -\frac{GM}{|\hat{x} - \hat{X}|} \right\rangle_{\rm src}$$

Perform Taylor expansion for $d \gg x, X$

$$\hat{m}\hat{\Phi} \simeq -\frac{G\hat{m}M}{|d|} \left(1 + \frac{\hat{x} - \hat{X}}{d}\right)$$

Replacing the source operators emerged from QG to its expectation value $\hat{X} \rightarrow \langle X \rangle = 0$



Then, the Hamiltonian leads to

$$\hat{H}(\hat{E},\hat{\mathbf{X}}) \simeq \varepsilon(\hat{E},\hat{\mathbf{X}}) + \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\hat{m}} + \frac{1}{2}k(\hat{x} - \Delta(\hat{E}))^2 \equiv \varepsilon(\hat{E},\hat{\mathbf{X}}) + \hat{h}(\hat{E})$$
$$\Delta(\hat{E}) = \frac{G\hat{m}M}{kd^2}, \ \varepsilon(\hat{E},\hat{\mathbf{X}}) = \frac{\hat{E}}{c^2} \left(\frac{GM}{|d|} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{X}}}{d} + \text{const.}\right)$$

No entanglement between INT-Source

Time evolution of the state associated with E_j is given by

$$|\Psi_j\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}(E_j)t/\hbar} |\psi_{\rm ini}\rangle|\varphi\rangle$$



Image of the worldlines



Calculation: the CG case

$$V_{\rm CG}(\hat{E}, \hat{x}) = -\left\langle \frac{G\hat{m}M}{|\hat{x} - (\hat{X} + d)|} \right\rangle_{\rm source}$$

No entanglement between INT and Source

• Hamiltonian of CG

$$\hat{H}_{\rm CG}(\hat{E}) = \hat{m}c^2 + \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\hat{m}} + \frac{1}{2}k\hat{x}^2 + V_{\rm CG}(\hat{E},\hat{x})$$

• How does the particle evolve?

$$\langle \hat{E} \rangle = \{ E_0, E_1 \}$$

 \rightarrow 2 different patterns for the particle state!

• Visibility $|\mathcal{V}(t)| \sim |\langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t) \rangle$ corresponds to the innerproduct between $\langle \hat{E} \rangle = \mathcal{E}_0$, Blue: $\langle \hat{E} \rangle = E_1$





Calculation: the CG case

$$V_{\rm CG}(\hat{E}, \hat{x}) = -\left\langle \frac{G\hat{m}M}{|\hat{x} - (\hat{X} + d)|} \right\rangle_{\rm source}$$

No entanglement between INT and Source

• Hamiltonian of CG

$$\hat{H}_{\rm CG}(\hat{E}) = \hat{m}c^2 + \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\hat{m}} + \frac{1}{2}k\hat{x}^2 + V_{\rm CG}(\hat{E},\hat{x})$$

• How does the particle evolve?

$$\langle \hat{E} \rangle = \{ E_0, E_1 \}$$

 \rightarrow 2 different patterns for the particle state!

• Visibility $|\mathcal{V}(t)| \sim |\langle \psi_0(t) | \psi_1(t) \rangle$ corresponds to the innerproduct between $\langle \hat{E} \rangle = \mathcal{E}_0$, Blue: $\langle \hat{E} \rangle = E_1$



Biscup Calculation: the CG case
Calculation of the Ramsey interference visibility
$$|\mathcal{V}(t)|$$

 $|\mathcal{V}(t)| = \left| \int dX |\varphi(X)|^2 \langle \psi_{\text{ini}} | e^{i\hat{H}(E_0, \mathbf{x})t/\hbar} e^{-i\hat{H}(E_1, \mathbf{x}'t/\hbar} | \psi_{\text{ini}} \rangle \right|$
 $= \left| \int dX |\varphi(X)|^2 e^{i(\varepsilon(E_0, \mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon(E_1, \mathbf{x}))t/\hbar} \right| \times \left| \langle \psi_{\text{ini}} | e^{i\hat{h}(E_0)t/\hbar} e^{-i\hat{h}(E_1)t/\hbar} | \psi_{\text{ini}} \rangle \right|$
 $|\mathcal{V}_Q(t)| = \left| \int dX |\varphi(X)|^2 e^{i\frac{\varphi}{2} - \frac{\varphi}{2} - \frac{z}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \right|$
 $= \frac{2}{N} \frac{e^{-\frac{\varphi}{2}} \left(\frac{GME \sigma t}{2c^2 | d | \bar{d} \bar{h}} \right)^2}{\left(\cos \left[\frac{GME \beta t}{c^2 | d | \bar{d} \bar{h}} \right] + e^{-\beta^2/\sigma^2}} \right)}$
Hence, $|\mathcal{V}(t)| = |\mathcal{V}_C(t)|$
 $|\mathcal{V}_C(t)| = |e^{i\omega_0 t/2} \langle \psi_{\text{ini}} | e^{-i\hat{h}(E_1)t/\hbar} | \psi_{\text{ini}} \rangle |$
 $2\pi \text{ periodic function for $\sqrt{\frac{k}{m_0 + E_1/c^2} t}$$

Backup Result: the plot of the Ramsey visibility

The time dependence of the Ramsey visibility (considering up to the higher order) Parameters are set to $\sqrt{m_0^3/k} = 10$, $\sqrt{m_0/m_1} = 0.5$, $Gm_0M/(kd^3) = 0.015$, $m_0^{1/4}d = 10$, $\beta/d = 0.01$, $\sigma/d = 0.001$





Visibility and Logarithmic Negativity in QG case with better approximation than the last page



- Whole 3 systems share entanglement.
- Higher the INT:Src. negativity is, more the visibility decoheres.



Visibility and Logarithmic Negativity in CG case with better approximation than the last page



- Only INT and CM systems entangle periodically.
- The higher the log negativity is, more the visibility decoheres.

Backup Entanglement structure

Let us calculate the negativity under approximation that the CM and Src. state is well localized.

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \mathsf{QG} \mbox{ case } \\ \displaystyle (& |\mathcal{V}(t)| = |\mathcal{V}_Q(t)\mathcal{V}_C(t)| \\ \\ \displaystyle \mathcal{N}(\rho_{\mathrm{INT:S}}) \sim \frac{1}{4} \left(-1 + |\mathcal{V}_Q(t)| + \sqrt{(1 + |\mathcal{V}_Q(t)|)^2 - 4|\mathcal{V}_C(t)|^2|\mathcal{V}_Q(t)|} \right) \\ \\ \displaystyle \mathcal{N}(\rho_{\mathrm{INT:CM}}) \sim \frac{1}{4} \left(-1 + |\mathcal{V}_C(t)| + \sqrt{(1 + |\mathcal{V}_C(t)|)^2 - 4|\mathcal{V}_Q(t)|^2|\mathcal{V}_C(t)|} \right) \\ \\ \displaystyle \mathcal{N}(\rho_{\mathrm{CM:S}}) \sim 0 \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \mathsf{CG} \mbox{ case } \\ \displaystyle \mathcal{N}(\rho_{\mathrm{INT:S}}) \sim 0 \\ \\ \displaystyle \mathcal{N}(\rho_{\mathrm{INT:CM}}) \sim \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{V}_C(t)| \\ \\ \displaystyle \mathcal{N}(\rho_{\mathrm{CM:S}}) \sim 0 \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \mathcal{N}(\rho_{\mathrm{CM:S}}) \sim 0 \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \mathsf{Particle} \\ \displaystyle \mathsf{Particle} \\ \displaystyle \mathsf{Particle} \\ \displaystyle \mathsf{CM} \\ \displaystyle \mathsf{Redshift} \\ \displaystyle \mathsf{INT} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \mathsf{Source} \\ \displaystyle \mathsf{O} \mbox{ of } \\ \displaystyle \mathsf{O} \mbox{ of } \\ \displaystyle \mathsf{Redshift} \\ \displaystyle \mathsf{INT} \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \mathsf{Source} \\ \displaystyle \mathsf{O} \mbox{ of } \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$$

 $\textbf{Visibility is non-revival} \leftrightarrow |\mathcal{V}_Q(t)| < \textbf{1} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}(\rho_{\text{INT:S}}) > \textbf{0}$



The evaluation of the decoherence factor for the QG case were as follows.

$$\left(\frac{GME}{2c^2|d|}\frac{\sigma}{d}\frac{t}{\hbar}\right)^2 = 1.7 \times 10^{-34} \left(\frac{M}{10\,\mathrm{ng}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{267\,\mathrm{nm}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{d}{200\,\mu\mathrm{m}}\right)^{-4} \left(\frac{\sigma}{1\,\mu\mathrm{m}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{t}{20\,\mathrm{sec}}\right)^2,$$
$$\left(\frac{GME}{c^2|d|}\frac{\beta}{d}\frac{t}{\hbar}\right)^2 = 6.8 \times 10^{-32} \left(\frac{M}{10\,\mathrm{ng}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{267\,\mathrm{nm}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{d}{200\,\mu\mathrm{m}}\right)^{-4} \left(\frac{\beta}{10\,\mu\mathrm{m}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{t}{20\,\mathrm{sec}}\right)^2$$

Since the observation uncertainty is about 10^{-22} , it is difficult to detect at present.

