General Relativistic Multidimensional Flux-Limited Diffusion Scheme for Neutrino Transport

Ninoy Rahman

Technical University of Munich H.-Th. Janka Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics O. Just Astrophysical Big Bang Laboratory, RIKEN Cluster for Pioneering Research

August 12, 2019

Outline

- Motivation.
- General Relativistic Hydrodynamics code NADA (Montero 2013).
- FLD Neutrino Transport.
- Idealized test for transport.
- 1D CCSN simulation conducted with NADA-FLD code and comparison with M1 based ALCAR code (Just et al. 2015).

Motivation

- Core-collapse supernovae.
- Merger of black hole (BH) and neutron star (NS) and NS and NS.
- Evolution of hypermassive neutron star.

General Relativistic Hydrodynamics-GR



Figure 1: Space-like hyper-surface and time-like normal (Baumgarte and Shapiro 2010). Einstein equation is solved dynamically in 3+1 decomposition.

$$G_{\mu\nu}=8\pi T_{\mu\nu}.\qquad(1)$$

- Hyperbolic formalism by Baumgarte, Shapiro, Shibata and Nakamura.
- Solved in Spherical polar coordinate.
- Time integration is done by 2nd order partially implicit runge-kutta method (Baumgarte 2012).

General Relativistic Hydrodynamics-Hydro

 Local conservation of matter current density and energy-momentum tensor.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_a J^a &= 0 \\
\nabla_a T^{ab} &= S_\nu \\
\nabla_a J^a_e &= Q_\nu.
\end{aligned}$$
(2)

- Finite difference method (Montero 2013).
- PPM, CENO, MP5 for reconstruction of cell interface values from cell centered values.
- Solved by High resolution shock capturing method of Harten Lax and van Leer.
- Micro-physical equation of state.

Neutrino Transport (Flux Limited Diffusion)

- Co-moving orthonormal frame.
- Solves Flux limited diffusion (FLD) scheme for neutrino transport.
- In FLD, 0th moment of neutrino distribution function is dynamically evolved.
- Neutrino Flux $\mathcal{H}^{\hat{i}} = -\lambda D e^{i\hat{i}} \partial_i J.$
- Flux limiter, λ, smoothly interpolates between diffusive and free-streaming region.
- Flux limiter ensures causality is not violated. Flux: $F_i \leq cJ$.
- Levermore-Pomraning limiter.
- In deriving the FLD flux one assumes ∂_tF_i = 0, v_i = 0 and flat metric.
- GR correction to FLD flux:

$$\mathcal{H}^{\hat{i},\,\alpha} = -\lambda D e^{i\hat{i}} \alpha^{-3} \partial_i (\alpha^3 \mathcal{J}).$$
(3)

Neutrino Transport (FLD Equation)

$$\partial_t (\sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{J}) + \partial_j (\sqrt{\gamma} v^j \mathcal{J}) - \partial_j (\sqrt{\gamma} \lambda D \partial^j \mathcal{J}) - \\ + [R_\epsilon - \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} (\epsilon R_\epsilon)] = \sqrt{\gamma} \kappa_a (\mathcal{J}^{eq} - \mathcal{J})$$

- 2nd term(lhs): advection.
- 3rd term(lhs): diffusion term.
- 4th term: velocity dependent term responsible for doppler and gravitational redshift.
- ▶ 5th term(rhs): absorption and emission source term.

(4)

Neutrino Transport (Flowchart)



Neutrino Transport (FLD Equation)

- Apply operator and directional splitting.
- Source terms are solved by iterative Newton-Raphson method along with temperature and electron fraction.
- ► Terms containing radial derivatives and [R_e ∂/∂e(eR_e)] are solved by 2nd order implicit Crank-Nicolson method.
- Terms containing lateral derivatives are solved by explicit first order Allen-Cheng method (Allen 1970) or second order multi-stage Runge-Kutta-Legendre method (Meyer 2014).

Neutrino Transport (Numerical Scheme for lateral transport)

- Allen Cheng method (AC)
 - Pro:
 - Unconditionally stable for diffusion term.
 - Easily parallelizable and low computational cost.
 - Con:
 - \blacktriangleright Accuracy drops when integration time scale Δt is above diffusion timescale $t_{\rm diff}.$
 - $r_{\text{diff}} = \frac{\Delta t}{t_{\text{diff}}} < 1$ is desirable.
- Runge-Kutta-Legendre method (RKL2)
 - Pro:
 - Conditionally stable for diffusion term, $r_{\rm diff} \leq s^2/8$, where s=number of stages.
 - ► Con:
 - Relatively more expansive than AC method.

Transport Test-Hemispheric difference test

We consider radiation diffusing out of a static scattering atmosphere in 2D with scattering opacity

$$\kappa_{\rm s}(r) = \frac{1}{r^2}.$$
 (5)

- Spherical polar coordinates.
- The problem is initialized with a constant value of $\mathcal{J}(r, t = 0) = 10^{-10}$.
- At r = 0.01 the "fixed" boundary condition is applied with $\mathcal{J}(r = 0.01, t) = 1$.
- Spherical symmetric opacity version.
- Dipolar version:

$$\kappa_{\rm s}(r,\theta) = \kappa_{\rm s}(r)(1+0.5\cos\theta). \tag{6}$$

Transport Test-Hemispheric difference test



Transport Test-Diffusion of Gaussian Pulse

- Diffusion of Gaussian Pulse in 2D.
- Analytical solution exists.
- Cartesian coordinates.
- Constant scattering opacity $\kappa_s = 10^3$.
- The Allen-Cheng or RKL2 method is applied along the x-direction and the Crank-Nicolson method along the y-direction.

•
$$r_{\rm diff} = \frac{\Delta t}{t_{\rm diff}} = 0.1, 0.5, 1.0.$$

Transport Test-Diffusion of Gaussian Pulse



Neutrino Transport Test-CCSN (Setup)

- 1D Radiation-Hydrodynamics simulations with general relativistic and Newtonian gravity.
- ▶ $20M_{\odot}$ ZAMS (Woosley and Heger, 2007).
- Radial grid points = 600
- Nuclear EOS SFHo (Steiner et al. 2012)

Reaction	Neutrino
$\nu + A \leftrightarrow \nu + A$	$\nu_{\rm e}, \bar{\nu}_{\rm e}, \nu_{\rm x}$
$\nu + \mathbf{N} \leftrightarrow \nu + \mathbf{N}$	$\nu_{\rm e}, \bar{\nu}_{\rm e}, \nu_{\rm x}$
$ u_{e} + A \leftrightarrow e^{-} + A'$	$ u_{\mathrm{e}}$
$ u_e + n \leftrightarrow e^- + p$	$ u_{ m e}, ar{ u}_{ m e}$
$ar{ u}_e + p \leftrightarrow e^+ + n$	$ u_e, ar{ u}_e$
$ u + ar{ u} \leftrightarrow e^- + e^+$	ν_{x}
$\nu + \bar{\nu} + N + N \leftrightarrow N + N$	ν_{x}

Table 1: Neutrino opacities used for the CCSN simulations. "N" denotes nucleons and "A" and "A' " denote nuclei.

Neutrino Transport Test-CCSN (Code Comparison-Bounce)



Neutrino Transport Test-CCSN (Code Comparison-Long Term)



Neutrino Transport Test-CCSN (Code Comparison-Flux Factor)



Neutrino Transport Test-CCSN (Code Comparison-Ratio of time step size and lateral diffusion timescale)



Summary

- Multidimensional general relativistic FLD.
- Mixed implicit-explicit methods are used for parallel efficiency and low computational cost.
- Most global properties agree well between FLD based NADA and M1 based ALCAR code.

Backup

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[W(\hat{\mathcal{J}} + \bar{v}_{\hat{i}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{\hat{i}}) \right] + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \left[\alpha W(v^{j} - \beta^{j}/\alpha) \hat{\mathcal{J}} \right] + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \left[\alpha e_{\hat{i}}^{j} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{\hat{i}} \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \left[\alpha W \left(\frac{W}{W+1} v^{j} - \beta^{j}/\alpha \right) \bar{v}_{\hat{i}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{\hat{i}} \right] + \hat{R}_{\epsilon} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} (\epsilon \hat{R}_{\epsilon}) \\
= \sqrt{\gamma} \int C d\Omega.$$
(7)

$$R_{\epsilon} = \left\{ W \Big[\mathcal{F}_{j} \frac{\partial v^{j}}{\partial \tau} + \mathcal{S}_{j}^{k} \frac{\partial v^{j}}{\partial x^{k}} + (\mathcal{F}^{j} - \mathcal{E}v^{j}) \frac{\partial \ln \alpha}{\partial x^{j}} + v^{j} \mathcal{F}_{k} \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \beta^{k}}{\partial x^{j}} + \mathcal{S}^{jk} \Big(\frac{1}{2} v^{l} \frac{\partial \gamma_{jk}}{\partial x^{l}} - K_{jk} \Big) \Big] - (\mathcal{E} - v^{i} \mathcal{F}_{i}) \frac{\partial W}{\partial \tau} - (\mathcal{F}^{j} - \mathcal{S}_{k}^{j} v^{k}) \frac{\partial W}{\partial x^{j}} \right\}.$$
(8)