

Shift-symmetric Horndeski models in the asymptotically safe swampland?*

SDUT

Fabian Wagner^{1,2} with Astrid Eichhorn², Rafael Robson-Lino dos Santos² ¹ University of Szczecin, ² CP3-Origins, University of Southern Denmark

Horndeski

What?

- modification of General Relativity adding a scalar ϕ (scalar-tensor-theory)
- most general formulation with 2^{nd} order field equations
 - \rightarrow no Ostrogradski instabilities/ ghosts
- tightly constrained by GW170817
- Why?
 - dark matter
 - Hubble tension
 - inflation

Model

What?

- shift-symmetric $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(x) + a$
- minimal coupling to gravity
- truncation: mass dimension < 8
- Eucl. effective action ($\chi = (\partial \phi)^2/2$): $\Gamma_{k,\mathrm{H}} = \Gamma_{k,\mathrm{EH}} + \int \left[Z_{\phi} \chi + \bar{h} \chi \Box \phi + \bar{g} \chi^2 \right] \quad (1)$
- \rightarrow dim.ful couplings $\bar{h} = hk^{-3}, \, \bar{g} = gk^{-4}$ Why?
 - $c_T = 1$ (complying with GW170817)

Cosmological constraints

Literature

- \exists 5 Horndeski parameters α_i
- of interest for model: $\alpha_B(h, \bar{q})$
- stable cosmological evolution under perturbations: $|\alpha_B| < 10^{-2}$ [1]

Assumptions

- scalar acts as dark energy at present \rightarrow equation of state: $w_{\phi,0} \simeq -1.0$
 - \rightarrow density parameter: $\Omega_{\phi,0} \simeq .69$

Bounds on model parameters

- dynamical explanation of dark energy (in this case)
- gravity+matter: mainly monomials with symmetries of kinetic terms of interest



Methods

Gravity

- Einstein-Hilbert truncation
- Landau-gauge
- (G_*, Λ_*) treated parametrically

Cosmological Constant

- here: $\Lambda_* = 0$
- also checked Λ_* arbitrary (paper)
 - \rightarrow does not change argument

Derivation of beta-functions

- solutions of truncated Wetterich equation
 - \rightarrow Litim-regulator
 - $\rightarrow \mathcal{PF}^{-1}$ -expansion

Functional renormalisation group analysis







Figure 1: Phase portraits of dimensionless couplings with (right) and without (left) gravity.

Takeaways from Fig. 1

- two fixed-point candidates approaching each other with increasing G_*
 - \rightarrow Gaussian fixed point gets shifted (sGFP)
- both disappear after meeting at $G_* \simeq 1.4$. \rightarrow weak gravity bound
- non-Gaussian fixed point in strongly non-perturbative regime + large change in critical exponent \rightarrow likely artefact of truncation
- h = 0 at both fixed points with and without gravity
 - \rightarrow reason: cubic interaction breaks reflection symmetry $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$

Figure 2: Critical exponents at the shifted Gaussian fixed point. Black dots indicate weak gravity bound.

Takeaway from Fig. 2

• both couplings irrelevant at sGFP $\forall G_*$

Prediction from asymptotic safety:



Assumption

• Near-perturbative g at $k = m_p$

Effective field theory analysis

Prediction from effective field theory

$$|\bar{g}_{\text{EFT}}| < m_p^{-4} \sim 10^{-112} \text{eV}^{-4}$$
 (5)

Conclusion

Additional constraint

• Landau pole-like divergence for positive g

shift-symmetric Horndeski model tightly constrained by imposing stability and dark energy-like behaviour

Asymptotic safety (usual caveat: in this truncation)

- \rightarrow predicts vanishing cubic interaction
- \rightarrow not compatible with cosmological constraints
- \rightarrow not improved by next term in truncation (not shown here)

Effective field theory

- \rightarrow predicts very weak quartic interaction
- \rightarrow not compatible with cosmological constraints
- \rightarrow solved by assuming strongly nonperturbative couplings at $k = m_p$

Asymptotically safe gravity + matter = strong constraining power!

Contact Information

Email fabian.wagner@usz.edu.pl Web https://cosmo.usz.edu.pl/fwagner

Future Research

enlarge truncation

• fate of terms implying $c_T \neq 1$?

References

to be on the arXiv soon!

[1] Creminelli *et al*, JCAP 05 (2020) 002