Physical origin of different dynamical stages of the quasiparticle distribution function during pair production by ultrashort laser pulses

Manoranjan P. Singh Raja Ramanna Centre for Advanced Technology, Indore, India Homi Bhabha National Institute, Mumbai , India and Chitradip Banerjee Department of Physics, Ariel University, Ariel, Israel Pair Creation by Spatially uniform time varying laser field : Quantum Kinetic Theory in Quasi particle representation

$$H(t) = \sum_{r,\bar{p}} \omega(\bar{p},t) (B^{\dagger}_{\bar{p},s}(t)B_{\bar{p},r}(t) - D_{-\bar{p},r}(t)D^{\dagger}_{-\bar{p},r}(t))$$

$$f_r(\bar{p},t) = \langle 0_{\rm in} | B_{\bar{p}r}^{\dagger}(t) B_{\bar{p}s}(t) | 0_{\rm in} \rangle \qquad \Phi_r(\bar{p},t) = \langle 0_{\rm in} | D_{-\bar{p}r}(t) B_{\bar{p}r}(t) | 0_{\rm in} \rangle$$
$$= u_r(\bar{p},t) + iv_r(\bar{p},t)$$

Distribution function and order parameter for FIPT (*t*-noninvariant vacuum state)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df(\bar{p},t)}{dt} &= \frac{eE(t)\epsilon_{\perp}}{2\omega^2(\bar{p},t)}u(\bar{p},t),\\ \frac{du(\bar{p},t)}{dt} &= \frac{eE(t)\epsilon_{\perp}}{\omega^2(\bar{p},t)}[1-2f(\bar{p},t)] - 2\omega(\bar{p},t)v(\bar{p},t),\\ \frac{dv(\bar{p},t)}{dt} &= 2\omega(\bar{p},t)u(\bar{p},t). \end{aligned}$$

*u*: cause ofvacuumpolarization*v*: counteraction

$$\frac{df(\bar{p},t)}{dt} = \frac{eE(t)\epsilon_{\perp}^2}{2\omega^2(\bar{p},t)} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \frac{eE(t')}{\omega^2(\bar{p},t')} \quad [1 - 2f(\bar{p},t')]\cos[2\Theta(\bar{p};t,t')]$$

Non-Markovian character of the dynamics

 $(1 - 2f(\bar{p}, t))^2 + |\Phi(\bar{p}, t)|^2 = 1$  1-2f, u, v : Components of the Bloch Vector

Evolution of modulus and phase of the order parameter

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d|\Phi(\bar{p},t)|}{dt} &= \frac{eE(t)\epsilon_{\perp}}{\omega^{2}(\bar{p},t)}\cos\psi(\bar{p},t)\sqrt{1-|\Phi(\bar{p},t)|^{2}},\\ \frac{d\psi(\bar{p},t)}{dt} &= 2\omega(\bar{p},t) - \frac{eE(t)\epsilon_{\perp}}{\omega^{2}(\bar{p},t)}\sin\psi(\bar{p},t)\frac{\sqrt{1-|\Phi(\bar{p},t)|^{2}}}{|\Phi(\bar{p},t)|} \end{aligned}$$

Sauter pulse having oscillatory structure with linear and quadratic chirp

$$E(t) = E_0 \cosh^{-2}(t/\tau) \cos(\alpha t^3 + \beta t^2 + \omega_0 t)$$

Dynamical Stages QEPP governed by *E(t)* REPP by *A(t)* Transient Stage by *E, A, u, v,* ..... Complex, fast oscillations

Order parameter phase Sudden increase at Transient stage

Dephasing of e-e+ correlation Independent particles

v(t) dominant in QEPPu(t) catches up intransient stageu(t), v(t) equalin REPP



## Origin of fast oscillations of $|\phi(t)|$ in the transient stage

Sauter pulse Without oscillation

Sauter pulse With 5 oscillation

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

Cosψ oscillation much faster compared to that of E(t) in the transient stage

For evolution of phase  $\psi(t)$  the two competing source terms exactly balance in QEPP  $2^{nd}$  term with Sin $\psi$  dominates in transient stage  $1^{st}$  term (2 $\omega$ ) controls the evolution in REPP

## Evolution of mass-shell

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\xi(t) = (m(t) - m0)/m0$ 

## **Physical Picture**

e+e- pairs are off-shell before reaching transient stage Pairs are correlated Interact with electric field and gain energy and reach on-shell during the transient stage Dephasing turns pairs into independent particles Pretransient Stage in presence of quadratic frequency chirp

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

Pretransient stage: Mirror image of transient stage oscillation in accelerating part of pulse

Oscillations in pretransient and transient stage interrupted by central peak region of pulse

Transient and pretransient stages move closer to the pulse centre with increase in the strength of quadratic chirp, resulting into enhancement of pair production

## **Evolution of mass-shell**

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

System reaches on-shell mass configuration much faster

Increase in frequency on the either side of central region of the pulse opens up another Channel of pair production (multiphoton process) thereby leading to enhancement in Pair production

Acknowledgement: We thank Dr. Arup Banerjee, Dr. Aparna Chakrabarti for stimulating discussions and Dr. Surendra Yadav for help in numerical calculations.