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## Reduced model for laser spatio-temporal shape

A model for pair production in head-on collision of a laser pulse and gamma photons (electrons)

Parametric study at fixed laser energy and focus on the soft shower regime of pair production (low secondary pairs)
> Time dependence and 'building block'
> Role of the laser pulse's spatial shape (Laguerre-Gauss beams)

Typical setup


## Reminder : Nonlinear Breit-Wheeler

## Gamma photon propagating in a laser field

Rate of pair production by photon decay (LCFA)

$$
W_{\mathrm{BW}}=W_{0} \frac{b_{0}\left(\chi_{\gamma}\right)}{\gamma_{\gamma}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{0}=\frac{2 \alpha m c^{2}}{3 \hbar}=3.8 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
\gamma_{\gamma}=\frac{\hbar \omega_{\gamma}}{m_{e} c^{2}}, \quad a_{0}=\frac{e E_{0}}{m c \omega}, \quad \chi_{\gamma} \propto \gamma_{\gamma} \frac{E_{0}}{E_{S}} \propto \gamma_{\gamma} a_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the soft shower regime ( no secondary gamma photons)

$$
\frac{d}{d t} N_{\gamma}=-W_{\mathrm{BW}}(t) N_{\gamma}
$$

The probability for a photon to decay in $\Delta t$

$$
P(\Delta t)=1-\exp \left[-\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+\Delta t} W_{\text {Key element }}\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}\right]
$$

## Pair creation in a plane wave

## Head-on collision with a plane wave

Field : $\mathbf{E}(z, t)=\frac{E_{0}}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^{2}}}[\sin (\omega t-k z) \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\varepsilon \cos (\omega t-k z) \hat{\mathbf{y}}]$
$\varepsilon=$ polarisation (normalisation constant energy)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Photon quantum parameter : } \chi_{\gamma}(t)=2 \gamma_{\gamma} \frac{E_{0}}{E_{S}} \sqrt{\frac{\sin ^{2}(2 \omega t)+\varepsilon^{2} \cos ^{2}(2 \omega t)}{1+\varepsilon^{2}}}
\end{gathered} \begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\Psi_{\varepsilon}(2 \omega t) \\
\chi_{\gamma}(t)=\chi_{0}|\sin (2 \omega t)| \text { for LP } \\
\chi_{\gamma}=\chi_{0} / \sqrt{2} \text { for } \mathrm{CP}
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Time dependent and } \tau / 4 \text { periodic } \\
\text { (half period crossing, e.g. LP } \rightarrow \text { ) }
\end{array} \\
& \int_{0}^{\tau / 4} W_{\mathrm{BW}}\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}=\frac{W_{0}}{2 \omega \gamma_{\gamma} \frac{\int_{0}^{\pi} b_{0}\left(\chi_{0} \Psi_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)\right) d \varphi}{J_{\varepsilon}\left(\chi_{0}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Probability in a LP plane wave

Example LP $(\varepsilon=0): \mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\chi_{0}\right)=\int{ }_{0}^{\pi} b_{0}\left(\chi_{0} \sin (\varphi)\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi$ can be solved numerically or analytical approximation :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\chi_{0}\right) \simeq \pi b_{0}\left(\chi_{0}\right) \min \left\{F\left(\sqrt{\frac{2 b_{0}\left(\chi_{0}\right)}{3 \chi_{0} b_{0}^{\prime}\left(\chi_{0}\right)}}\right), f\right\} \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
F(s)=\sqrt{2 / \pi} s \operatorname{erf}(\pi \sqrt{2} /(4 s)) \\
f=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin ^{2 / 3}(\varphi) \mathrm{d} \varphi
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

The probability to create a pair after a half period crossing $P(\tau / 4)=1-\exp \left[-\frac{W_{0}}{2 \omega / \mathcal{I V}_{\gamma}} \mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\chi_{0}\right)\right]$
N.B. $P\left(\tau / 4, a_{0}, \gamma_{\gamma}\right)$

## Probability after half period crossing (LP)



Numerical solution of the equicontours of constant probability :
straight lines at low $a_{0}, \gamma_{\nu}$ but cross values of constant $\chi_{0}$ minimum $a_{0}$ for a given probability, along the line $\chi_{0} \sim 16.5$

## Analytical approximation



## Cross benchmark with PIC/MC

## Excellent match with PIC/MC Smilei )* simulations



Generalization to more complex space and time dependences

## Finite pulse duration and parameter space

Probability $P_{t o t}(t) \simeq 1-e^{-R t}$ with the (local) average rate $R=\frac{4}{n \tau} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{W_{0}}{2 \omega \gamma_{\gamma}} J_{0}\left(\chi_{m}\right)$

High probability isocontour ( $\mathrm{P}>0.63$ ) for different time durations


Building block, $\chi_{m}$ at local maximum
\# of
maxima crossed in $t$


Plane wave of finite duration


## Laguerre-Gauss beams and space dependence

Complex envelope $u_{p \ell}(\rho, \phi, z)=C_{p \ell} \frac{w_{0}}{w(z)}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \rho}{w(z)}\right)^{|\ell|} L_{p}^{|\ell|}\left(\frac{2 \rho^{2}}{w^{2}(z)}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{\rho^{2}}{w^{2}(z)}\right] \exp \left[-i \psi_{p l}(z)+i \ell \phi+i \frac{z \rho^{2}}{w^{2}(z)}\right]$
Solutions of the paraxial equation with constant total energy

Generalised phase

The beam's transverse size increases with $\ell$, the amplitude decreases

Normalised field


Maximum amplitude


Photon quantum parameter


## Total cross section of pair creation

Compute the time integrated probability for a finite time duration


Example $\ell=5$
$a_{0}=2000, \gamma_{\gamma}=400$ $\tau_{\text {FWHM }}=5 \tau$

Prediction


probability map

3D PIC/MC Smilei )


Total cross section $\sigma_{t o t}=\iint P_{\text {tot }} \mathrm{dxdy}$ N.B. Integral over interaction region

Number of pairs $N_{\text {pair }}=\sigma_{t o t} n_{\gamma} L_{\gamma}$


First set of PIC/MC Smilei) simulations

$$
a_{0}=2000, \gamma_{\gamma}=400, \tau_{\mathrm{FWHM}}=5 \tau, \chi_{0}=4.85
$$




## Pair creation in less focused Gaussian beams

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Comparison with Extended Gaussian (EG) } \\
& a_{0}=2000, \gamma_{\gamma}=400, \tau_{\text {FWHM }}=5 \tau, \chi_{0}=4.85
\end{aligned}
$$



Extended Gaussian (EG) are constructed in order to have the same total energy and the same peak value as the LG of the corresponding order
$\ell \neq 0, \mathrm{p}=0$


Number of produced pairs in EG higher than LG for $\ell<4$ (bigger interaction area)

## LG beams vs EG for lower intensities

Importance of $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{o}}$ versus $\gamma_{\gamma}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Second set of PIC/MC Smilei) simulations } \\
a_{0}=400, \gamma_{\gamma}=2000, \tau_{\text {FWHM }}=5 \tau, \chi_{0}=4.85
\end{gathered}
$$



High probability isocontours ( $\mathrm{P}>0.63$ )


Start from lower $a_{0}$ : increasing the size is not enough to compensate for the field's amplitude diminution, no improvement on pair production.

## Guidelines for upcoming facilities

Model's prediction for $\tau_{F W H M}=25 \mathrm{fs}, \lambda=0.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$



## Strategy for optimisation

Facilities in black: increase focal spot size ( no tight focus) as the maximum probability is already $\sim 1$

Facilities in white : need to increase laser amplitude (tight focus) in order to have significant pair creation

Dotted red lines $=$ constant $\chi_{0}(0.1 ; 1 ; 16.5)$

## Thank you

More details : A. Mercuri-Baron et al 2021 New J. Phys. 23085006

